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Purpose of Today’s Presentation

- Enrollment Update
- The Budget Times that We Are In
- Historical Perspective – SAUSD Budget Reductions
- Budget Update and Budget Reductions
- Next Steps
Enrollment Projections

The District has utilized a three-level approach to enrollment projections the past two school years and bases staffing allocations on the final projection:

- Input from site principal
- Demographic analysis include trends and historical cohort-survival rates (previously used Dolinka but now done by Facilities)
- District adjustments based upon multiple factors done in collaboration with Human Resources, Facilities, Business Operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Dolinka</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>CBEDS</th>
<th>Difference (CBEDS v. District)</th>
<th>% Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td></td>
<td>53,996</td>
<td>53,514</td>
<td>(482)</td>
<td>(0.89%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>53,289</td>
<td>53,256</td>
<td>53,942</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>1.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td>55,025</td>
<td>54,813</td>
<td>54,084</td>
<td>(729)</td>
<td>(1.32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>54,314</td>
<td>54,210</td>
<td>54,584</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>0.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>53,693</td>
<td>55,043</td>
<td>54,449</td>
<td>(594)</td>
<td>(1.08%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td></td>
<td>55,053</td>
<td>54,899</td>
<td>(154)</td>
<td>(0.27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-06</td>
<td>58,169</td>
<td>56,574</td>
<td>(1,595)</td>
<td>(2.74%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-05</td>
<td>58,656</td>
<td>58,884</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>0.38%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-04</td>
<td>62,656</td>
<td>59,895</td>
<td>(2,761)</td>
<td>(4.40%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-03</td>
<td>58,953</td>
<td>60,973</td>
<td>2,020</td>
<td>3.42%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Current enrollment estimate not finalized
## Enrollment as of 9/22/2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010-11 CBEDS</th>
<th>2011-12 Projected Enrollment</th>
<th>Actual as of 9/22/11</th>
<th>Diff.</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elementary (includes K-6 sites)</strong></td>
<td>26,586</td>
<td>27,055</td>
<td>26,817</td>
<td>(238)</td>
<td>(0.88%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intermediate</strong></td>
<td>11,488</td>
<td>11,369</td>
<td>11,324</td>
<td>(45)</td>
<td>(0.39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High School</strong></td>
<td>15,868</td>
<td>15,572</td>
<td>15,373</td>
<td>(199)</td>
<td>(1.28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>53,942</td>
<td>53,996</td>
<td>53,514</td>
<td>(482)</td>
<td>(0.89%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Schools have monitored enrollment on a daily basis. Sites are continuing the outreach process of locating these students. The District has had an increased enrollment of 187 since our last Board presentation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes since Previous Board</th>
<th>9/13/11 presentation Projection</th>
<th>Actual as of 9/22/11</th>
<th>Diff.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elementary (includes K-6 sites)</td>
<td>26,736</td>
<td>26,817</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>11,295</td>
<td>11,324</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>15,296</td>
<td>15,373</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>53,327</td>
<td>53,514</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Projected vs. Actual Funding Per ADA
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WHY DOES SAUSD STILL NEED TO MAKE CUTS WHEN FUNDING TO EDUCATION IS “FLAT”? 
Why does SAUSD still need to cut $31.5 million in 2011-12 and $32.0 million for 2012-13?

- State has **not** funded up to approximately $60 million annually since 2008-09 that is “owed” to SAUSD (deficit reduction)
- Tier III flexibility has since 2008-09, allowed SAUSD to temporarily not make $18.5 million in ongoing reductions
- CSR Penalty flexibility since 2008-09, allowed SAUSD to temporarily not make $10 million in ongoing reductions

The $31.5 million reduction that was needed for 2011-12 is due to the State deficit factor that remains in Governor Brown’s current budget, with or without the trigger being engaged
Historical Perspective
(Negotiated Labor Settlements & Other Key Events)
SAUSD has been required to make reductions to the budget each year beginning in 2004-05.

The cuts from 2004-05 through 2008-09 were predominantly due to declining enrollment and totaled $120.6 million.

Cuts in 2009-10 and 2010-11 totaled $42.6 million were due primarily due to reductions in base revenue limit and categorical programs, which includes $20 million in one-time solutions pushing the ongoing cut requirement to the following year.

The current reductions required for 2011-12 were $31.5 million which includes the $20 million in one-time solutions from 2010-11.

The second year in the MYP, 2012-13 will require an additional $32.0 million in budget reductions which includes the $27.5 million in one-time solutions from 2011-12.

In the 9 year span beginning in 2004-05 SAUSD has been required to identify and implement over $226.7 million in budget reduction solutions.
Historical Perspective
(Negotiated Labor Settlements & Other Key Events)

• **Spring 2004: Salary Rollback**
  – Significant downturn in enrollment results in a fiscal crisis
  – Spurs involvement of Orange County Department of Education (OCDE), School Services & FCMAT
  – Working with our associations, the district negotiates a 2 year salary rollback plan (2004-05 & 2005-06) to provide temporary, financial relief
  – The rollback
    • **Certificated**: 4% salary reduction
    • **Classified**: 1% salary reduction
    • **Management**: 4% salary reduction
• **July 2006: Salary Restoration**
  – District restores salary
    • plus deferred COLAs (on a compounded basis)
    • plus repayment of deficit reduction
  – Net increase in pay as follows:
    • **Certificated**: 13.44% restoration and COLA
    • **Classified**: +10.01% restoration and COLA (smaller increase as CSEA members took a -1% reduction vs. -4% for Certificated & management)
    • **Management**: +13.44% restoration and COLA
• **March 2007: Negotiated Salary Increase**
  – **Certificated, Classified & Management** receive a 5% salary increase
  – Significant changes to Health and Welfare plan leading to $9.6 million in savings
    • Employee contributions from $5 single/$40 2 party or family – to a percentage of District cost
    • Increased employee co-pay by $5
    • Implemented Emergency Room co-pay of $50
    • Increased employee co-pay on prescriptions by $5
• **July 2008: Management Furlough Days**
  – For 2008-09 & 2009-10, up to 10 furlough days (for 12 month employees) or a 4.5% annual reduction
  – July 2010: Management agrees to a 3rd year of furlough days for 2010-11; a 4.5% reduction.
Historical Perspective (Cont’d)

• **Certificated** Negotiated Settlements (post July 2008)
  – October 2008
    • No salary increase or reduction for 2007-08
    • New certificated staff no longer eligible for CLAD stipends ($350K savings)
  – April 2009
    • No salary increase or reduction for 2008-09 and 2009-10
    • District agrees not to freeze step & column pay increases in 2009-10 (Cost of approx. $6 million/year)
    • Various changes in Health & Welfare plan including increase in co-payments, deductibles & employee premiums:
      – Kaiser: No cost to employee (single, dual or family coverage)
      – Higher Cost HMO: Employee pays 5% of cost (up from 4%)
      – PPO Plan: Employee pays 11% of cost (up from 9%)
  – May/June 2010
    • SAEA challenges & district loses arbitration hearing and restores 2.5 staff development days (cost of $3 million)
  – Nov 2009 & April 2010
    • Agreement with SAEA on various Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) related to class sizes & intermediate athletic coach’s pay (cost of $230K)
• **Certificated** Negotiated Settlements (post July 2008 Cont’d)
  - February 2011
    • No salary increase or reduction and no furlough days for 2010-11
    • No freeze to step and column pay increases for 2010-11
    • Established a joint District/SAEA Benefits Authority
    • Through 2014-15 change Summer School pay from hourly rate to factor rate
  - May 2011
    • Contract settled and ratified prior to start of 2011-12 school year
    • No salary increase or reduction for 2011-12
    • No freeze step & column pay increases in 2011-2012
    • Impact of 5 furlough days offset by Federal Education Jobs Bill funds
    • Various changes in Health & Welfare plan including increase in co-payments, deductibles & employee premiums:
      - Kaiser: hospital and emergency room co-pay increase, $150 annual deductible for brand name drugs
      - Blue Cross HMO: hospital and emergency room co-pay increase, $150 annual deductible for brand name drugs
      - Blue Cross PPO Plan: hospital and emergency room co-pay increase, $150 annual deductible for brand name drugs
Historical Perspective (Cont’d)

- **Classified Negotiated Settlements (post July 2008)**
  - **October 2008**
    - No salary increase or reduction for 2007-08 and 2008-09
    - 436, 3.75 hour positions (ineligible for H&W) are converted to 4 hour positions and are now eligible for district paid H&W ($3.6 million cost)
    - Kaiser: No cost to employee (single, dual or family coverage)
    - Higher cost HMO & PPO plan: Employee pays 20% of cost
    - New employees only eligible for lowest cost HMO plan (i.e. Kaiser)
    - Classified employees no longer eligible for dual coverage
  - **May 2010**
    - No salary increase or reduction or furlough days for 2009-10.
    - No freezes to the salary schedule or step increases for 2009-10
• **Classified Negotiated Settlements (post July 2008 Cont’d)**
  – April 2011
    • No salary increase or reduction or furlough days for 2010-11
    • No freezes to the salary schedule or step increases for 2010-11
    • 3 Classifications- Site Clerks, Library Media Techs, and Instructional Assistant moved from 3.75 hour per day to 5.75 (cost of $1.5 million)
    • Various changes in Health & Welfare plan including increase in co-payments, deductibles & employee premiums:
      – Kaiser: 2% employee contribution tenthly, hospital ($250) and emergency room co-pay increase (from $50 to $100), $150 annual deductible for brand name drugs
      – Blue Cross HMO: 5% employee contribution tenthly, hospital ($250) and emergency room co-pay increase, $150 annual deductible for brand name drugs
      – Blue Cross PPO Plan: hospital ($250) and emergency room co-pay increase (from $50 to $100), $150 annual deductible for brand name drugs
      – Self-insurance for prescription drugs for employees on Blue Cross HMO and PPO
• **District Action**
  – Eligible employees continue to receive step & column pay increases
  – Supplemental Employee Retirement Plans (SERP)
    • Provides additional retirement incentives for eligible employees
    • Purpose: (1) Reduce salary expense by incentivizing senior, higher paid employees to retire & (2) reduce employees on “layoff” list
  
  • 5 SERPs offered in the past 8 years
    – 2002: Annual cost of $2.3 million for 5 years
    – 2003: Annual cost of $1.8 million for 5 years
    – 2004: Annual cost of $1.8 million for 5 years
    – 2008: Annual cost of $1.1 million for 5 years - 159 employees retired
    – 2009: Annual cost of $1.1 million for 5 years - 85 employees retired
  
  • 2 Lump sum payment retirement incentives
    – 2010: Cost of $0.8 million - 66 employees retired
    – 2011: Cost of $2.5 million - 160 employees retired

• Common wisdom dictates against (1) Issuing SERPs in successive years and (2) Offering multiple SERPs in such a short period of time
• These actions dilute SERP impact and increase the tenuous nature of achieving financial savings.
District’s Goal is to continue to work collaboratively with our associations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>STATEWIDE AVERAGE</th>
<th>SANTA ANA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Salaries &amp; Benefits (Cert, Class, Mgmt)</td>
<td>Salaries &amp; Benefits (Cert, Class, Mgmt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Object codes 1xxx through 3xxx</td>
<td>Object codes 1xxx through 3xxx**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Total Unrestricted GF Expense</td>
<td></td>
<td>% of Total Unrestricted GF Expense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-2010</td>
<td>90.57%</td>
<td>2009-2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Total Unrestricted GF Expense</td>
<td></td>
<td>% of Total Unrestricted GF Expense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>2.18%</td>
<td>Difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Total Unrestricted GF Expense</td>
<td></td>
<td>% of Total Unrestricted GF Expense</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**1999-2000: Does not include PERS Reduction, object code 7270 (prior to SACS conversion)

2009-2010: Does include PERS Reduction, object code 3801-3802

State Data courtesy of School Services of California
Recovery Takes a Long(er) Time

The Economic Cycle

Where the Budget was projected

Initial projection

Current projections

Where we are

December 2007
Spring 2010
Spring 2011
2015
2016 or 2017
2010-11

• 2010-11 “Get out of Town” budget
• Mid-Year Cuts? - NO
• Will 2010-11 revenue deferred into 2011-12 be received? - YES

2011 - 12

• ARRA ends
• Jobs Bill ends
• Temporary State tax measures end
• $31.5 million in cuts needed ($27.5 utilize one-time solutions)
• Mid-Year Cuts?

2012 - 13

• CSR Penalty Flexibility ends – extended to 2013-14 by SB 70
• Temporary State tax measures ended
• $32.0 million in cuts needed
• QEIA at risk

2013-14

• Tier III flexibility and ability to reduce instructional year ends – extended to 2014-15 by SB 70
• QEIA sunsets
• ARRA and Jobs Bill funding ends
• State adopts budget with “trigger language” for mid-year cuts
• Apportionment deferrals continue impacting cash flow and disproportionately affect low wealth, high SES districts
• District cuts of at least $31.5 million were identified ($27.5 million in one-time solutions)
Multi-year Projection - Challenges

2012 - 13

- Apportionment deferrals continue impacting cash flow and disproportionately affect low wealth, high SES districts
- State Budget deficit projected through at least 2015-16
- District cuts of $32.0 million need to be identified
- Health and welfare costs projected to increase by double-digit percentages
- QEIA program at-risk without State Board of Education Waiver
- Stricter Categorical program compliance requirements
• CSR penalty flexibility ends – results in $10.5 million in required cuts for SAUSD though the State still has the funds available

• QEIA program sunsets

• Class Size requirements in Grades 4 – 8 impact district

• Preferential Substitute Education Code impact complicated by prior three bullets

• Health and welfare costs projected to increase by double-digit percentages

• Apportionment deferrals continue impacting cash flow and disproportionately affect low wealth, high SES districts

• State Budget deficit projected through at least 2015-16

• District cuts of at least $30 million required
• Tier III flexibility ends the following year results in $15-20 million in required cuts for SAUSD specifically impacting classified staffing though the State still has the funds available
• Ability to reduce instructional year also ends
• Class Size requirements in Grades 4 – 8 impact district staffing ratios
• Preferential Substitute Education Code impact projected to continue to complicate the labor picture
• Health and welfare costs projected to increase by double-digit percentages
• Apportionment deferrals continue impacting cash flow and disproportionately affect low wealth, high SES districts
• State Budget deficit projected through at least 2015-16
• District cuts not yet quantified
## 2011-12 Adopted Budget MYP

### ($s in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010-11</th>
<th>2011-12</th>
<th>2012-13</th>
<th>2013-14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning Fund Balance</td>
<td>$95.3</td>
<td>$86.7</td>
<td>$58.0</td>
<td>$53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment to Beginning Fund Balance</td>
<td>($1.0)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add: Revenues</td>
<td>$478.9</td>
<td>$457.8</td>
<td>$460.6</td>
<td>$444.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Expenditures (incl. Budget Reductions)</td>
<td>$486.5</td>
<td>$486.5</td>
<td>$464.7</td>
<td>$442.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance</td>
<td>($7.6)</td>
<td>($28.7)</td>
<td>($4.1)</td>
<td>$2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending Fund Balance</td>
<td>$86.7</td>
<td>$58.0</td>
<td>$53.9</td>
<td>$56.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Less: a) Non-spendable (Revolving Cash/Stores) | $1.1 | $1.2 | $1.2 | $1.2 |

b) Restricted | $9.0 | $7.6 | $3.4 | $2.0 |

c) Committed: Stabilization Arrangements | $24.4 | $16.3 | $6.4 | $11.1 |

d) Assigned: Future State Cuts/COLA | $17.2 | $26.7 | $25.3 |

Board approved one-time cuts for 2011-12 | $21.5 |

Est. one-time/mid-year cuts | $13.5 |

Other Designations (est. site carryover, Instr Materials, CalSafe, Community Day, CASHEE) | $7.5 | $5.9 | $6.9 | $7.9 |

e) Unassigned: Reserve for Economic Uncert. (%) | $9.7 (2%) | $9.9 (2%) | $9.3 (2%) | $8.8 (2%) |

Budget Reductions *included in Adopted Budget | ($31.5)* | ($32.0) | ($30.0) |
## What Happens if Budget Cuts aren’t Made?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>($s in millions)</th>
<th>2010-11</th>
<th>2011-12</th>
<th>2012-13</th>
<th>2013-14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget Cuts not yet Identified &amp;/or Approved</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>&lt;$32.0&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;$30.0&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves with above cuts</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves without above cuts</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>&lt;$7.2%&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;$26.1%&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Board Priorities 2011-12

- Ensure fiscal solvency (*legally required*)
- Preserve staff
  - Continue to provide Elementary support staff
- Maintain integrity of programs
  - Continue to protect services to the most vulnerable students
- Find other ways to reduce expenditures
- School Safety
- Maintain athletics and music programs
- Reduced class sizes in grades 1 & 2
- Maintain 180 day instructional calendar
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Approximate $ Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ensure Fiscal Solvency</strong></td>
<td>Needed cuts: $62 million (2012-13 &amp; 2013-14 combined)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grade K-3 Class Size Reduction (CSR)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Continue to offer Grades 1 &amp; 2 CSR through 2011-12</td>
<td>$6 million encroachment (without use of categorical funds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>using categorical funds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Grade 1 &amp; 2 (25:1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preserve counselor &amp; library services</strong></td>
<td>Cost: $9 million (Counselors = $7 million; Library Services = $2 million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maintain athletics &amp; elementary music program</strong></td>
<td>Cost: $8 million (Athletics = $5.5 million; Music = $2.5 million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safety</strong></td>
<td>Cost: $6 million (Police &amp; DSOs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Continue to provide elementary sites with support staff to ensure academic achievement</strong></td>
<td>Cost: $3.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimize the impact of cuts to our students &amp; employees</strong></td>
<td>PRICELESS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use **one-time** sources of funds such as ARRA or Jobs Bill to address reductions for **one year**, effectively moving the ongoing budget reduction from one year to the next...this option is running out.

Utilize **one-time fund balances** to address required reductions for **one year**, effectively moving the ongoing budget reduction from one year to the next.

An ongoing reduction: elimination or reduction of program; negotiated reduction; or reduction in staffing resulting in reduced expenditures.

Over 94% of the district budget is currently used to pay for staffing costs.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Reduction Actions</th>
<th>Proposed Amount of Reduction/Increase</th>
<th>$ in millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reductions required for 2011-12</td>
<td></td>
<td>$31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-11 Base Revenue Limit <em>(one-time)</em></td>
<td>$13.8</td>
<td>$17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs Bills <em>(one-time)</em></td>
<td>$8.3</td>
<td>$9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier III balances <em>(one-time)</em></td>
<td>$5.5</td>
<td>$3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Welfare negotiations</td>
<td>$6.0</td>
<td>$2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase expenditures for 2011-12</td>
<td>&lt;$4.0&gt;</td>
<td>$1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of $79/ADA 2011-12 Base Revenue Limit <em>(Plan B)</em></td>
<td>&lt;$4.0&gt;</td>
<td>$5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased base program for 2011-12</td>
<td>&lt;$2.5&gt;</td>
<td>$8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment increase, Tier III Inst Materials/Summer, 2nd Interim expenditure red.</td>
<td>$8.4</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of Reduction</td>
<td>Proposed Amount of Reduction/ Increase</td>
<td>Reductions Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Reductions required for 2012-13</td>
<td></td>
<td>$30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-11 Base Revenue Limit (one-time from 2011-12)</td>
<td>$13.8</td>
<td>$44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs Bills (one-time from 2011-12)</td>
<td>$8.3</td>
<td>$52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier III (one-time from 2011-12)</td>
<td>$5.5</td>
<td>$58.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR Penalty Flexibility continues</td>
<td>$11.0</td>
<td>$47.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-time Utilization of District Reserve funds (Fund 17)</td>
<td>$13.5</td>
<td>$33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised enrollment projection adjustment</td>
<td>$1.6</td>
<td>$32.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Next Steps - Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event or Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-going until Settled (CSEA)</td>
<td>Negotiations with our certificated &amp; classified associations (SAEA &amp; CSEA respectively)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 11, Nov. 8 &amp; 22</td>
<td>Budget Updates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 13</td>
<td>Presentation of First interim Report, Approval of 2012-13 Budget Reductions (current target $32 million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 9, 2012</td>
<td>Governor’s Proposed Budget and possible mid-year “trigger” based upon State Revenue projections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 13, 2012</td>
<td>Presentation of Second Interim Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun. 12, 2012</td>
<td>Presentation of 2012-13 Budget for adoption</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Days Remaining to Identify 2012-13 Reductions: 77