

## 2010-11 Budget Update & Unaudited Actuals

**September 14, 2010** 

Jane A. Russo, Superintendent

Cathie Olsky, Ed.D., Deputy Superintendent
Michael P. Bishop, Associate Superintendent, Business Services
Kelvin Tsunezumi, Executive Director, Business Services
Tony Wold, Ed.D., Director, Program Quality Analysis



### Purpose of Today's Presentation

- Budget Update
- 2009-10 Unaudited Actuals

- Cash Flow Update
- Next Steps



#### **Budget Update**



- State Budget currently 2.5 months past due
- Many speculate that we will <u>not</u> have an approved State Budget until November/December

#### Delays in State Budget = Additional State cash deferrals

- Given delays in Budget approval, the State has implemented an additional cash deferral (Revenue Limits & State categorical programs)
- Additional deferrals & State IOUs are a possibility

#### Federal Jobs Bill

- Legislature proposes allocation of these funds based on a proportionate share of each district's Revenue Limit
- The bill is awaiting the Governor's signature
- Estimated award amount for SAUSD: \$10.3 million



#### California's Race to the Top Application

- The State did <u>not</u> receive this funding
- 3 problematic areas with respect to California's application:
  - Lack of union support for the application
  - A statewide data system that lags behind other states
  - Concerns related to providing effective support to teachers & principals

#### School Improvement Grant (SIG) Funding

- SIG funding is awarded via a competitive, merit based process
- Due to District staff efforts and the support of SAEA, SAUSD will receive
   \$35 million in SIG funding for our 6 persistently low achieving schools
- The District received 98 out of 100 possible points



#### 2009-10 Unaudited Actuals







### 2009-10 Unaudited Actuals

#### 2009-10 Unaudited Actuals closed as follows:

\* At 2010-11 Adopted Budget

(\$s in millions)	Estimated 2009-10 Fund Balance *	20090-10 Unaudited Actual Fund Balance	Difference
Unrestricted	\$75.8	\$80.3	+\$4.5
Restricted	\$7.5	\$15.0	+\$7.5
Total	\$83.3	\$95.3	+\$12.0

- Unrestricted favorable variance primarily attributable to:
  - Certificated salaries & benefits
    - Less than anticipated spending by sites / departments for
      - » Extra duty & stipends
      - » Regular salaries
    - Select, salaries / stipends, originally slated for payment using unrestricted funds, paid with ARRA-Stabilization
    - Under spending by ROP (a mandated, unrestricted program)
- Restricted favorable variance is primarily attributable to less than anticipated spending from Title I, Title II, EIA & ARRA-Title I programs
  - Dollars will be carried over to 2010-11



### **Key Issues: Unaudited Actuals**

#### Adjustment to Beginning Balance for 2 Funds

- The General Fund (Fund 01)
  - Related to incorrect State handling / direction for 2008-09 Revenue Limits and select, State categorical program revenues
  - Recall last year's discussion, "Why is 2008-09 ending fund balance so high?"
  - July 2009. For 2008-09 Unaudited Actuals, the State directed districts to go back in time (from 2009-10 to 2008-09) and close our records as follows:
    - Reduce extent of 2008-09 Revenue Limit & select, categorical revenue cuts,
    - Increase ending balances in 2008-09,
    - Take these revenue cuts in 2009-10
  - During 2009-10. Audit firms, including our auditors (VTD), challenged this direction and the State reversed itself resulting in:
    - A beginning balance decrease adjustment for the General Fund
    - Revenues for 2009-10 being increased
    - Net effect: No change in 2009-10 ending fund balance but changes in revenue & beginning balance



### **Key Issues: Unaudited Actuals (Cont'd)**

- Adjustment to Beginning Balance for 2 Funds (Cont'd)
  - Debt Service Fund (Fund 56)
    - Adjustment made to rectify auditor directed actions



## **Key Issues: Unaudited Actuals (Cont'd)**

#### Special Ed Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Compliance

- The MOE requires district Special Ed spending (utilizing State & local funding) to be at the same level or more than prior year spending
- District could <u>not</u> charge \$5 million in Special Ed Costs to ARRA Stabilization due to MOE compliance issues
- The district instead paid for these expenditures utilizing unrestricted funds and found, replacement unrestricted expenses (primarily books & supplies) to charge to ARRA Stabilization
- Previously, the Board authorized portable rental payments using Fund 40
  - To aid with MOE compliance, rather than pay portable rentals directly from Fund 40, we are transferring amounts from Fund 40 to Fund 01
- Year over year expenditure comparisons will be skewed due to above actions

## Key Issues: Unaudited Actuals (Cont'd)

#### 2009-10 ARRA Award & Spending

(\$s in millions)	2009-10 Award Amount	Amount Expended in 2009-10	Funds utilized for:
ARRA-Stabilization	\$19.2	\$19.2	School Police, DSOs, Certificated Stipends / Salaries / Substitutes, Instructional Materials & Supplies, Special Ed (\$1 million) & Summer School related expenditures, etc.
ARRA-IDEA	\$11.4*	\$9.2	New Special Ed positions & programs plus encroachment reduction (50%)
ARRA-Title I	\$12.1*	\$2.9	Site directed purposes including staff development, interventions, instructional materials, etc.

<sup>\*</sup> Note: Any unspent award dollars are carried over into 2010-11



## Key Issues: Unaudited Actuals (Cont'd)

#### Worker's Comp Actuarial Study (Fund 67)

- At the next Board meeting (Sept. 28) the Worker's Comp actuarial study as of June 30, 2010 will be presented
- The bad news: Anticipated claims loss has increased by \$8 million
- This claims loss increase is reflected in our Unaudited Actuals
- Additional information will be provided at the next Board meeting



## Key Issues: Unaudited Actuals (Cont'd)

#### 2011-12 Required Budget Reductions

- Given favorable unrestricted ending fund balances, budget reduction targets for 2011-12 have been reduced by -\$1 million to \$31.5 million
- To be fiscally prudent, impact of favorable unrestricted ending fund balance impact is spread over multiple years. Rationale:
  - We have a multi-year problem, not a one-year problem. A long-term perspective is required
  - Overdependence on one-time (vs. on-going) cuts & funding
    - Examples of one-time funds: ARRA, SIG-QEIA
    - Ideally, all cuts should be on-going cuts
    - One-time cuts merely delays the problem to the future
    - A significant amount of our recent cuts have been one-time (for 2010-11 of our \$34 million in total cuts, \$23 million or 68% were one-time cuts)
    - Using the favorable ending balance all in one year, exacerbates the issue
  - Gradual changes in budget cuts are less chaotic to district operations and to our employees

# Santa Ana 2010-11 Adopted Budget MYP Unified School District (See detailed explanations on next page)

	Canada Canada Signatura					
	(\$s in millions)	2009-10	<u>2010-11</u>	<u>2011-12</u>	<u>2012-13</u>	
	Beginning Fund Balance	\$93.2	\$95.3	\$60.0	\$42.1	
	Adjustment to Beginning Fund Balance	(\$11.4)				
	Revenues	\$475.0	\$447.8	\$434.3	\$428.2	
_	Expenditures (including Budget Reductions)	\$461.5	\$483.1	\$452.2	\$430.8	
_	Net Increase/(Decrease)	\$13.5	(\$35.3)	(\$17.9)	(\$2.6)	
	Proj. Ending Fund Balance	\$95.3	\$60.0	\$42.1	\$39.5	
	Less: Other Designations (incl. Rev. Cash, etc.)	(\$3.1)	(\$3.0)	(\$3.0)	(\$3.0)	
	Unrestricted Reserves (incl. Instr. Materials, etc.)	(\$10.1)	(\$12.1)	(\$14.1)	(\$16.1)	
_/	One-time cuts/Budget shifts & TRANs	(\$32.0)				
	Restricted Reserves	(\$15.0)	(\$9.4)	(\$8.2)	(\$8.5)	
	Desig. for Economic Uncertainties	\$35.1	\$35.6	\$16.9	\$12.0	
	Desig. for Economic Uncertainties %	7.6%	7.4%	3.7%	2.8%	
					13	
	Addt'l Unspecified Budget Cuts Needed			(\$31.5)	(\$30.5)	

### MYP Additional Details & Explanations

- Column Headings titled 2009-10 through 2012-13
- 2009-10: Numbers shown are actuals
  - 2010-11 through 2012-13: Numbers shown are projections
- Beginning Fund Balance
  - Beginning Fund Balance for the General Fund (Unrestricted & Restricted Combined)
- Adjustment to Beginning Fund Balance
- Adjustments to 2009-10 Beginning Fund Balance due to incorrect State direction for 2008-09 Revenue Limits and select, State categorical revenues
  - Revenues, Expenditures, Net Increase/(Decrease) & Proj. Ending Balance
    - The Net Increase/(Decrease) and any adjustments to beginning fund balance are added to each year's respective beginning balance to derive the Projected Ending Balance
    - (b) + (c) + Net Increase/(Decrease) = Projected Ending Balance



## MYP Additional Details & Explanations (Cont'd)

#### Addt'l Unspecified Budget Cuts Needed

- Current projected amount of budget cuts needed to maintain fiscal solvency
- These reductions are assumed to have been made and their impact reflected in the Projected Ending Fund Balance
- If these cuts are <u>not</u> made, the Projected Ending Fund Balance will decrease

#### Designations

- Amounts from the Ending Fund Balance that are reserved for other purposes:
  - Other Designations: For revolving cash, warehouse inventory & prepaid expenses
  - Unrestricted Reserves: For future use / obligations related to Instructional Materials, CalSafe, CAHSEE, SERP payments, etc.
  - One-time Cuts / Budget shifts & TRANs: One-time budget cuts / shifts made in 2009-10 to be applied to 2010-11 & funds reserved for \$9 million TRANs payment
  - Restricted Reserves: Funds that can only be used as specified by the respective categorical programs

#### Designation for Economic Uncertainties (DEU) / Uncertainties %

- The true amount of district reserves
- For 2009-10; \$35.1 million and not \$95.3 million
- Projected Ending Balance minus Designations = DEU
- State mandates a minimum reserve of at least 2% for current & future years



### What Happens if Budget Cuts aren't Made?

(\$s in millions)	2010-11	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Budget Cuts <u>not</u> yet Identified &/or Approved			<\$31.5>	<b>&lt;\$30.5&gt;</b>
Reserves with above cuts	7.6%	7.4%	3.7%	2.8%
Reserves without above cuts	7.6%	7.4%	<3.0%>	<16.5%>



### **Ending Balances** (All Other Funds)

Fund (all \$s in 000's)	2009-10 Unaudited Actuals	2010-11 Budget	Difference
Fund 11 - Adult Education	\$0	\$0	\$0
Fund 12 - Child Development	\$270	\$277	\$7
Fund 13 – Cafeteria	\$13,061	\$13,061	\$0
Fund 14 - Deferred Maintenance	\$106	\$106	\$0
Fund 17 – Special Reserve	\$9,517	\$9,622	\$105
Fund 21 – Building	\$77,510	\$0	<\$77,510>
Fund 25 – Capital Facilities	\$542	\$342	<\$200>
Fund 35 – School Facilities	\$16,564	\$1,683	<\$14,881>
Fund 40 – Special Reserve/Capital Outlay	\$3,330	\$1,522	<\$1,808>
Fund 49 – Capital Projects (COP)	\$2,340	\$242	<\$2,098>
Fund 51 – Bond Interest & Redemption	\$15,512	\$15,607	\$95
Fund 56 – Debt Service	\$6,700	\$6,529	<\$171>
Fund 67 – Workers' Comp/Self-Ins.	\$6,490	\$4,233	<\$2,257>



#### State Cash Deferrals



The State will pay the District, not on Tuesday but several months later, for money they owe us!

They will pay us late even though we have obligations such as payroll, vendor invoices that must be paid on a timely basis.

State deferrals, totaling **\$170 million** for SAUSD, results in <u>projected cash</u> shortfalls in 2010-11 & 2011-12 and a probable need to borrow funds to meet

payroll & other district obligations. Cash shortfalls projected as follows: June 2011 (-\$13.3 million), \$150 February 2012 (-\$8.7 million), March 2012 (-\$29.0 million), April 2012 (-\$13.6 million), \$100 May 2012 (-\$30.5 million) & June 2012 (-\$70.1 million) \$50 \$0 Jul Sep Oct Nov Jan Feb Aug Dec -\$50 -\$100 2010/11



### Next Steps







#### **Next Steps**

Date	Event or Activity
On-going until Settled	Negotiations with our certificated & classified associations (SAEA & CSEA respectively)
Sept. 28,	Budget Update & (if applicable) 45 Day Budget Revision
Oct. 12 &	Note: 2011-12 Budget reduction target will be adjusted (either up or down)
Oct. 26	dependent on Unaudited Actuals and approved State Budget
Nov. 9	Presentation of Proposed 2011-12 Budget Reductions
Nov. ?	Special Board Meeting on Proposed Budget Reductions
Dec. ?	Special Board Meeting on Proposed Budget Reductions
Dec. 14	Presentation of First interim Report, Approval of 2011-12 Budget Reductions & Reach Decision on CSR for 2011-12 & future years.





#### 2010-11 Enrollment

	2010-11 Projected Enrollment	Actual as of 9/7/10	Difference
Elementary (includes K-6 sites)	26,251	26,516	+265
Intermediate	11,452	11,485	+33
High School	15,614	15,627	+13
Total	53,256	53,628	+311

Current enrollment, while less than last year's CBEDS by -456 students, is greater than budgeted enrollment by +311 students.