



2010-11 Budget Update and District Priorities

June 8, 2010

Jane A. Russo, Superintendent

Cathie Olsky, Ed.D., Deputy Superintendent
Michael P. Bishop, Sr., CBO, Associate Superintendent, Business Services
Kelvin Tsunezumi, Executive Director, Business Services
Tony Wold, Ed.D., Director, Program Quality Analysis

Tonight's Agenda

1. May Revision Update

2. Current 2010-11 Projected Budget & MYP

3. Budget Issues and Priorities



May Revision – Summary (as previously communicated)

- May Revision is only a proposal
 - Legislative approval is needed
- The State & national economy continues to be weak
 - State budget gap in excess of \$19 billion
 - Hoped for improvement in State revenues but has not yet materialized
- K-14 education funding at the minimum guaranteed level
- Cuts to Education roughly similar to January Governor's Proposal
 - Education "spared" from new cuts
 - Governor no longer specifying cuts to district administration and/or promoting contracting out of services
- However, significant cuts are proposed to health & social service programs:
 - \$750 million reduction to Medi-Cal
 - Elimination of the CalWORKs program



May Revision – Proposed Changes

- Assembly Budget Subcommittee Proposal (Highlights):
 - Reject Governor's cut to District revenue limits
 - Reject cuts to child care
 - Reject the negative COLA
 - Fund outstanding mandate claims (\$1.3 billion)
 - Fund a portion of the deficit factor
 - Shift some of the property tax revenue from local gov't. to schools
 - Increase State general fund revenue by closing two corporate tax loopholes
 - Assume Legislative Analyst's higher revenue estimate
- Senate Budget Committee Proposal (Highlights):
 - Reduce proposed revenue limit reduction in half
 - Reject the negative COLA
 - Move K-3 CSR to Tier III (but reduce funding by 20%)



May Revision - A Best Case Scenario?

- While the news appears favorable, there is still significant concern of additional cuts to Education given:
 - May Revise based on very uncertain revenue increase assumptions (i.e., assumes \$3.4 billion in Federal assistance for California)
 - Many proposed reductions are similar to ones proposed last year and not enacted by the State
 - Cuts to social welfare programs will be difficult to stomach
 - Strong opposition to any proposals to increase taxes
 - While there are signs of national recovery, California recovery still lags far behind (US unemployment rate of 9.9% vs. California's 12.6%)
 - 2010 is a major election year (raises uncertainty)
 - Possible court challenge over proposed reduction to CalWORKs and State worker pay cuts



Third Interim & the 2010-11 Budget

- The 2010-11 Budget will be presented to the Board in June
- 2010-11 numbers shown as part of the Third Interim will undoubtedly change as:
 - Additional May Revise details are released
 - 2010-11 Budget development incorporates detailed review of positions, expenditures and programs while 2010-11 projections from the Third Interim are developed via a macro approach

Santa Ana MYP using Third Interim Numbers Unified School District (including unspecified cuts)

(\$s in millions)	<u>2009-10</u>	<u>2010-11</u>	<u>2011-12</u>	<u>2012-13</u>
Beginning Fund Balance (at Second Interim)	\$93.2	\$81.1	\$53.8	\$31.9
Revenues	\$462.7	\$446.0	\$432.8	\$437.7
Expenditures (including Budget Reductions)	\$474.8	\$473.3	\$454.7	\$436.5
Net Increase/(Decrease)	(\$12.1)	(\$27.3)	(\$21.9)	\$1.2
Proj. Ending Fund Balance (at Third Interim)	\$81.1	\$53.8	\$31.9	\$33.1
Less: Other Designations (incl. Rev. Cash, etc.)	(\$2.8)	(\$2.8)	(\$2.8)	(\$2.8)
Unrestricted Reserves (incl. Instr. Materials, etc.)	(\$10.3)	(\$9.5)	(\$11.5)	(\$13.5)
QEIA Reserve	(\$0.0)	(\$0.0)	(\$0.0)	(\$0.0)
One-time cuts / Budget shifts – Deferred	(\$23.0)*			
Restricted Reserves	(\$7.5)	(\$4.2)	(\$3.9)	(\$4.0)
Desig. for Economic Uncertainties	\$37.5	\$37.3	\$13.7	\$12.9
Desig. for Economic Uncertainties %	7.9%*	7.9%	3.0%	2.9%
Addt'l Unspecified Budget Cuts Needed			(\$26.0)	(\$30.0)

^{*} Revised post 3rd Interim per Board authorization to utilize \$3.0 million in addt'l Stabilization dollars as a reduction.



What We Know

- 2010–11
 - \$34.0 million in approved budget reductions
 - \$23.0 million were one-time reductions
- 2011-12
 - \$26.0 million in reductions need to be identified by December 2010
- 2012-13
 - \$30.0 million in reductions (current projection)



Projected Ending Balances as of Third Interim (All Other Funds)

Fund (all \$s in 000's)	2009-10 Second Interim	2009-10 Third Interim	Difference
Fund 11 - Adult Education	\$0	\$0	\$0
Fund 12 – Child Development	\$134	\$140	\$6
Fund 13 – Cafeteria	\$9,590	\$10,828	\$1,238
Fund 14 – Deferred Maintenance	\$0	\$0	\$0
Fund 17 – Special Reserve	\$9,537	\$9,537	\$0
Fund 21 – Building	\$0	\$41,360	\$41,360
Fund 25 – Capital Facilities	\$324	\$450	\$126
Fund 35 – School Facilities	\$0	\$9,881	\$9,881
Fund 40 – Special Reserve/Capital Outlay	\$498	\$1,808	\$1,310
Fund 49 – Capital Projects (COP)	\$188	\$2,290	\$2,102
Fund 51 – Bond Interest & Redemption	\$9,841	\$9,841	\$0
Fund 56 – Debt Service	\$13,414	\$13,414	\$0
Fund 67 – Workers' Comp/Self-Insurance	\$13,104	\$13,104	\$0

Board Budget Development Priorities

2009-10 Board Priorities

- Ensure fiscal solvency
- Grade K-3 Class Size Reduction (CSR)
 - Continue to offer grades 1 and 2 CSR for two years through 2010-11 using categorical funds
 - Grade 1 @ approximately 23:1; Grade 2 @ approximately 24:1
- Preserve counselor and library services
- Maintain athletics and music programs
- Safety
- Minimize the impact of cuts to our employees
- Continue to provide elementary sites with support staff to ensure academic achievement

What We Don't Know

2010-11

- ARRA Funding expires
 - Special Education staff paid with ARRA funds for increases in Autism and expansion of high incident programs remain
- Persistently Low Achieving Schools (PLAS) sustainability support requirements
 - Site plan development
 - Possibility of additional schools being identified
- Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Federal reauthorization of NCLB
 - Accountability
 - Funding mechanism changes





2011-12

- Class Size Reduction (CSR) Flexibility sunsets under current law
 - Original penalty structure reverts back to 20.44 class size

Temporary tax increase sunsets at State level

What We Don't Know

2012-13

- Tier III Flexibility sunsets under current law
 - Programs would need to be reinstated to receive funding
 - Much of this flexibility was utilized to staff "must have" classified support staff at school sites

Board Budget Development Priorities

Board Priorities

- Current 2009-10 Board priorities remain
 - With possible exception of CSR(?)
- District operational systems and strategic support of school site
- Secondary school support as identified by PLAS process
 - Student academic opportunity and choice
 - Student safety
 - Community connection and outreach
- Identify \$26.0 million in budget reductions by December 15, 2010